

## In the Specification

*Please replace paragraphs [0001] through [0015] with the following:*

### Related Application

This is a §371 of International Application No. PCT/FR03/02675, with an international filing date of September 9, 2003 (WO 2004/025507 A2, published March 25, 2004), which is based on French Patent Application No. 02/11250, filed September 11, 2002.

### Field of the Invention

~~The present~~This invention relates to the area of managing persistent data of an entity, e.g., a company. In particular, the ~~present~~-invention relates to the follow-up of this persistent data in a database by a system for database management.

### Background

It is, ~~in fact~~, difficult for a company to guarantee the follow-up of the development process of strategic persistent data because this follow-up has several objective obstacles:

- ~~The~~the asynchronous and collaborative nature of the development of the process,
- ~~The~~the very demanding nature of the follow-up for constituting a real guarantee: the presence of one weak link definitively compromises the reliability of any response,
- ~~The~~the non-availability of generic solutions for taking charge of the traceability in the software layers on the market at a satisfactory level of granularity: OS, DBMS {[database management system]}, development language,
- ~~The~~the very high cost of rewriting existing applications and the very high cost of taking explicit account of the traceability by each application.

~~The prior art already known~~WO 99/35566 discloses a process for the identification and follow-up of the developments of a set of software components ~~from international patent application~~

WO-9935566. The process ~~proposed by this document of the prior art~~ allows the recording of the components by their name and their version. This classification at the file level does not respond to the problem of saving traces of data in a continuous manner, that is, at each modification of this data. In particular, the process proposed is not suitable for tracing a database modified at each write access.

US patent-5, 347, 653 ~~proposesdiscloses~~ a method supplying a historical perspective of a database of stored objects by means of a versioning of the objects stored as well as an indexing representative of the objects. ~~ThisThat~~ method ~~of the prior art~~ proposes integrally storing the last version of the database and ~~on the other hand~~ storing the differences to be applied to this last version ~~in order~~ to obtain previous versions. The problem posed by ~~this documentthat method~~ is the necessity of applying the differences one by one and in series ~~in order~~ to find the state of the base at a given date. This constraint implies a significant expense of time.

Likewise, ~~patent application PCT~~ WO 02/27561 (Oracle) ~~in the prior art~~ teaches a system and a process for furnishing access to a time division database. ~~TheThat~~ invention ~~described in this document~~ concerns a system and a process for selectively viewing data in temporary rows in a constant reading database. The saved transactions causing changes in the data in the rows of a database are tracked and a change number of the system stored is assigned to each saved transaction. A requested selection of the values of data in the rows of the database is executed as well as an inquiry time taking place before the saving time of at least one saved transaction. The values of the data in ordered rows contained in the cancellation segments storing a transaction identifier for at least one saved transaction are recovered.

~~Patent application PCT~~ WO 92/13310 (Tandem Telecommunication Systems) ~~from the state of the art~~ also teaches a process for the selection and representation of data varying in time from a

management system for a database developing as a function of time, which process produces a unified view on a computer screen. The data coming from a master recording relative to a particular entity is displayed with a video attribute or by character by default and is considered as being the up-to-date recording. The access to a recording that is historical relative to this entity brings it about that the data relative to the fields that differ from the corresponding fields of the up-to-date recording is superposed on such fields of up-to-date recording but with a video attribute or by a character different from the video attribute or by the character by default. The superposed up-to-date recording becomes a new up-to-date recording intended for other superpositionings.

In the same manner, the access to a held recording brings it about that the data relative to the fields that differ from the corresponding fields of the up-to-date recording is superposed on such fields of up-to-date recording but with a video attribute or by a character different from the video attribute or by the character by default. A plurality of historical or held recordings can be composed in such a manner that all the modified fields for a recording set from the end of a defined period can be superposed on an up-to-date recording at one time.

~~European patent application EP 0 984 369 (Fujitsu)~~ also teaches a mechanism for storing dated versions of data. In ~~this~~that storage mechanism the data is stored as a plurality of recordings with each recording comprising at least one attribute, a time marker indicating the duration for which the attribute is valid, an insertion time indicating the moment at which the recording was created and a type field. The type field indicates whether the recording is a concrete recording, a delta recording or an archive recording replacing one or several archived recordings. Data is accessed ~~in order to~~ to find an attribute value from the viewpoint of a “specified time” by realizing an extraction of the recordings that have insertion times prior to the “specified time” and constructing an attribute value

from the extracted recordings. The data is updated solely by adding concrete or delta recordings without modifying the attribute values in the concrete or the delta recordings.

#### Summary of the Invention

This invention relates to a process for organizing a digital database in a traceable form including modifying a main digital database by addition or deletion or modification of a recording of the main database, wherein modifying the main database includes creating at least one digital recording including at least unique digital identifiers of concerned recordings and attributes of the main database, a unique digital identifier of a state of the main database corresponding to the modification of the main database, elementary values of attributes assigned via elementary operations without proceeding to store non-modified attributes or recordings, and addition of the concerned recording in an internal historical database composed of at least one internal historical table, and

reading the main database, wherein reading relates to any final or previous state of the main database and includes receiving or intercepting an original request associated with the unique identifier of a target state in proceeding to a transformation of an original request to construct a modified request for addressing the historical database including criteria of the original request and the identifier of the target state, and reconstruction of the recording or recordings corresponding to the criteria of the original request and to the target state, wherein the reconstruction includes finding elementary values contained in the recordings of the historical base and corresponding to the criteria of the original request to reduce requirements of storage capacity and processing times.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

The invention will be better understood with the aid of the following description, made purely by way of explanation, of an embodiment of the invention with reference made to the attached

figures.

Fig. 1 schematically shows a classic communication architecture between an application and a database.

Fig. 2 schematically shows a communication architecture similar to that of Fig. 1 and comprising the elements necessary for the application of the invention.

Fig. 3 schematically shows the different means for accessing a database organized in a traceable manner and provided with a system in accordance with the invention.

#### Detailed Description

The present This invention proposes to eliminate the disadvantages of the prior art by proposing providing a process for the follow-up of the development of the data in an architecture based on an DBMS, consisting of comprising:

—The materialization of the intermediate versions and of data streams resulting from operations performed on the database as its development proceeds at the level of elementary granularity (recording by recording and attribute by attribute);

—The possibility of “rapid” reconstitution and retrieval of every original historical framework state of each data version and each operation (we understand by wherein the term “rapid” means “without perceptible additional time connected to the restoration”);

comprising:

—Mechanisms for reconstituting the stream of causal dependence (of the source-destination type) between the data concerned;

—Mechanisms for notifying the reappraisal of operations in the past in the case of the development of the input data;

—Mechanisms of re-execution;

and covering the following particular cases and extensions:

- Taking account of the structural development (development of scheme);
- Taking account of the development of applications;
- Taking account of applications existing in a flexible architectural framework;
- Schemes of gradual development of an architecture on the scale of the company;
- Management of virtual versions (alternative families and parallel hypotheses).

The primary problem of the invention is to thereby permit the exploitation of the base data in accordance with the successive versions while limiting the requirements of time and storage capacity and to authorize retrieval on the fly.

A customary step consists in recording successive versions of databases, e.g., in the form of periodic storing on a support such as a magnetic cartridge with the completeness of the database corresponding to the current version. The search for information requires the advance restoration of the entire base starting from the support corresponding to the corresponding backup, then the querying of the base restored in this manner. For bases of important data and such as those used in the banking system, the insurance system or management, the volume corresponding to a state can exceed a terabyte, a volume which it is advisable to multiply by the number of backed up states.

ThisThat solution is totally not adapted for use in real time. The invention has the task of responding to the technical problem of using large-volume databases in real time. To this end, the invention concerns in its most general meaning a process for organizing a digital database in a traceable form comprising steps for the modification of a main digital database by the addition or deletion or modification of a recording of the main base and of the reading steps of the main database, characterized in that

~~The~~the step of modifying the main database comprises an operation of creating at least one digital recording comprising at least:

~~The~~the unique digital identifiers of the concerned recordings and attributes of the main database,

~~A~~a digital identifier of the state of the main database corresponding to this modification of the main database,

~~The~~the elementary values of the attributes assigned to them via elementary operations without proceeding to store non-modified attributes or recordings,

~~And~~the addition of this recording in an internal historization base composed of at least one internal historization table,

~~And~~and in that the reading step relating to any final or previous state of the main database consists in receiving (or intercepting) an original request associated with the unique identifier of the state aimed at, in proceeding to a transformation of this original request ~~in~~ order to construct a modified request for addressing the ~~historization~~historical database comprising the criteria of the original request and the identifier of the state aimed at, and the reconstruction of the recording or recordings corresponding to the criteria of the original request and to the state aimed at, which reconstitution step consists ~~in~~ of finding the elementary values contained in the recordings of the ~~historization~~historical database and corresponding to the criteria of the original request (~~in~~ order to reduce the requirements of storage capacity and the processing times).

According to ~~a variant these~~one aspect, such recordings of the historization database also contain references to other recordings of the internal database ~~in~~ order to specify the connections of dynamic dependence of the source-destination type constituting the causal stream of the inter-

ferences between the data versions. This operation of modifying the main base is advantageously a logic operation and ~~said~~the operation of addition in the historization database consists ~~in~~of adding:

~~A~~a recording identifying the state of the base corresponding to the logic operation,

~~A~~s many recordings as parameters of the logic operation,

~~A~~a recording for the possible result of the logic operation, and

~~And~~ specifying by cognateness the regrouping of operations from the elementary level of modification to the level of the transaction, passing the number of semantic levels necessary for the applications.

According to another ~~variant~~aspect, the main database comprises one or several tables organizing the development links between the identifiers of the successive and alternative states of the main base and intended to organize the recordings of the internal database.

*Please replace paragraphs [0017] through [0030] with the following:*

According to a particular ~~embodiment~~aspect, this reading operation consists ~~in~~of determining ~~said~~the state of the main database by referring to ~~said~~the identifiers and to the tables of development links between the states of the main base. An application querying the main database can advantageously specify the state of the desired main database.

The invention also concerns an architecture for managing a database, characterized in that this application can bring about modifications in the entire state of the main base and give rise, in the instance of an attempt to modify a previous state, to the creation of new alternatives of digital development of the main database, whose data will be generated by the same internal ~~historization~~ historical database.

~~According to a variant the~~ The dependence links may serve as recovery criteria for ~~said~~ the operations already carried out. The updatings carried out on the various branches can ~~preferably~~ be integrated or merged into the framework of a new state “inheriting” these branches.

~~According to a particular embodiment the~~ The cases of the development of the structure of the data of the main database ~~are~~ may be treated as particular cases of the development of the data of this base, ~~however~~. However, little of the structure/scheme of this main base is described in the manner cited for the data, as a dictionary.

~~According to another embodiment the~~ historization The historical database ~~is~~ may be explored and queried by applications via the native mode of the DBMS ~~in order~~ to obtain information such as, e.g., all the historical values of an attribute and all the (dynamic) incidents of every updating and to navigate along the versions and the streams of dynamic dependence in a classic manner in accordance with the querying language in force required by the DBMS.

~~The present invention will be better understood with the aid of the following description, made purely by way of explanation, of an embodiment of the invention with reference made to the attached figures.~~

~~Figure 1 shows a classic communication architecture between an application and a database.~~

~~Figure 2 shows a communication architecture similar to that of figure 1 and comprising the elements necessary for the application of the invention.~~

~~Figure 3 shows the different means for accessing a database organized in a traceable manner and provided with a system in accordance with the invention.~~

The management of the persistent data of a company (or of an organization in the broad sense) is generally entrusted to a specific software also called a DBMS {[database management system]}. Computer applications propose interactive ergonomic means to the users that are capable

of visualizing and developing the data of the database of the company by communicating with the DBMS. ~~We will recall in the following paragraphs the~~The main features of the architecture ~~in order~~are recalled to position the framework of ~~our~~the process of the follow-up of the development of the data and to fix its minimum vocabulary.

The persistence manager necessary for ~~our~~the system authorizes the ~~storage~~storage of data and its reconstitution in memory in conformity with its structure (defined as a set of attributes) and the values entered or calculated. The main relational DBMS's (but also of the object, network or hierarchical type) on the market are good candidates for the role of persistence manager. Moreover, this compatibility is ~~an asset of our~~important in the process, that can also draw profit in this manner from the software base installed in the company.

Consider by way of simplification and solely by way of example the use of a relational DBMS. It permits ~~the~~ representation of data in the form of tables (or relations). The columns indicate the attributes (or fields). Each column is characterized by a domain (entire, character, date, floating, etc.) and by other possible information such as the maximal size (for chains of characters). Certain attributes (one or several) constitute the key or the identifier of the recording. The following figure shows a table ~~indicating~~indicates the keys (underlined). Each line of one and the same table represents a new recording (or n-uplet) of uniform structure. Each cell represents the value of the attribute. For example, “aaa” is the value of attribute Attribute1 of the first recording, whose key is 1001.

Table

<u>Key</u>	Attribute1	Attribute2
1001	“aaa”	12/23/2001
1002	“bbb”	11/24/2000
1003	“ccc”	5/8/1989

*Please replace paragraphs [0032] through [0033] with the following:*

The persistence manager also allows the definition, consultation and development of the data structure, also called “data scheme.” Thus, the tables can be defined, deleted or restructured. In the latter instance columns can be added or deleted. At times, it is even useful to change the domain of an attribute or of other analog characteristics, which can imply implicit or explicit conversion processes of the data concerned.

Whatever the physical representation of the data, the table is the logical reference for the representation of data. Thus, the applications generally “see” in the form of tables. It is important to emphasize that ~~our~~the system depends on preserving this logical representation ~~in order~~ to ensure the greatest compatibility with the existing applications. For example, after having requested the connection to a particular database, an application can address a persistence manager with a request of the “select \* from client” and receive in exchange the data set permitting the reconstitution of the data in tabular form.

*Please replace paragraphs [0037] through [0040] with the following:*

~~In order to better understand the extend of its scope, a~~A classification of traceability is presented according to progressively more advanced levels to better understand the extent of its scope:

[[ - ]]]The first level of traceability, that can be qualified as elementary, is that of the representation and storage of data. It is therefore a matter of describing the structure, then of storing and identifying the data, whether it is a command, an article or even a mechanical component ~~in~~ ~~order~~ to be able to retrieve it later. This type of functionality is already ensured by specialized software called database management systems (DBMS). The development process is manifested by the successive application of elementary operations such as reading, insertion, updating and deletion.

These elementary operations are generally grouped into transactions ~~in order~~ to maintain the coherence of the data under conditions of competing use or of recovery in case of breakdown. At this level, updates have as a natural consequence the loss of existing values as a consequence of their replacement by new values since, by convention, only one data (with its attributes) can correspond to one identifier. This first level of traceability that is called elementary is indispensable but largely insufficient.

[[ - ]]]The second level of traceability authorizes a data to have several versions (distinct values) at the same time. This improves the traceability since it becomes possible to have values preceding as well as values following the execution of an operation or a process at any moment, which facilitates even more the comprehension of the development. The versioning introduces a valuable quality since the irreversibility can no longer be bypassed (the development of data is allowed without loss of the current values). In addition to successive versions there are alternative versions. It frequently occurs that a user, after having traced back the chain of execution of a process, desires to make a few changes to the previous state of the data. In these instances the versioning mechanisms allow the taking into account of alternatives or of branches of development that authorize several possible continuations from the same state of the base. An advanced system of traceability should therefore integrate this aspect, all the more since a new branch allows the preceding ones not to be destroyed, thus preserving the traceability of previous processes. There are numerous works that take into account the data whose values develop in time. The domain of time-division databases clearly distinguishes the axis of the validity time from that of the transaction time. The validity time allows, e.g., the fact to be specified that a price is valid from one date to the next. This information is totally independent of the date of the updating of the data that stores it in the base and that is situated in the time called transactional. By virtue of the specific nature of their problems,

the mechanisms for taking account of the validity time comprise solutions of querying and of updating (publication of R. Snodgrass, "The Temporal Query Language Tquel", ACM Transactions on Database Systems, Association for Computer Machinery, New York, USA), propose operators dedicated to taking account of intervals (between, before, etc.), and specifically treat the cases of updating time intervals for a data that imply a merging or a division (European patent application EP 0 984 369 (Fujitsu)). Moreover, the representation and the displaying of different versions require for their part specific solutions (PCT patent application WO 92/13310 (Tandem Telecommunications Systems)) that facilitate the understanding of the development of individual data without being concerned with branches or of the global criterion of the collective coherence of the data of the base in the versioning space. In fact, these aspects are located outside of the problem of traceability, that has a number of requirements relating to versioning that are specific to it, and are still unresolved. Archiving and restoration are finally cited as mechanisms allowing the retrieval of previous states of the database. It is evident on the other hand that they are inadequate faced with the problem of traceability for reasons of too great a granularity in development follow-up, which creates insoluble disadvantages of response time and of storage space. In conclusion, versioning is also indispensable for ensuring traceability but still remains, as will be seen further below, insufficient.

[[ - ]]] A third level of traceability is that of operations. Tracing an operation amounts to allowing a persistent trace of the execution of this operation, permitting an even better understanding of the manner of how the data develops. In this manner the development of a command between two versions can be better explained if it is known, e.g., that there was a recovery operation for the total price. The majority of DBMS have journaling mechanisms that authorize the consultation of operations carried out at the elementary level. This information should be correlated with the high-level operations In order so that it can be understood by the users. The basic problem here is that the

journal entries do not have the same persistence cycle as the data. Thus, the journal is generally located outside of the database and is regularly purged by the administrator. PCT application WO 02/27561 (Oracle) brings an alternative solution to this problem by proposing the internal storage (in the database) of transactions and of information about the cancellation of their effects (undo), which allows every previous state of the database to be retrieved by executing in the inverse order the inverse of the operations that took place afterwards. Although interesting, this technique can be very cumbersome in terms of execution time because, ~~in order~~ to retrieve a precise version of a data, it undoes all the operations that took place afterwards, including those that do not concern it. Moreover, it is not appropriate either for obtaining the list of all the versions of a data. Finally, it prevents any updating from a previous state of the base, which separates the variants and the alternative branches of development. As will be seen later, ~~in the present~~this invention ~~the inventors~~ opted foror adopts the opposite strategy: Upon the receipt of a request, ~~in the present~~this invention, its transformation is proceeded to then to an execution of the versioned data. Finally, note the necessity of having information of a higher level supplied, e.g., by the applications ~~in order~~ to obtain a connection between the semantics of the applications (application of a recovery upon a command) and that of the DBMS (updating of the attribute “amount” of the command).

[[ - ]]]The most advanced level of traceability is that of the causality. It concerns the materialization of the links for the transporting of information at the most elementary level (the finest grain). For example, if any operation O proceeds to read attribute A of data X, to read attribute B of data Y, to the addition of the two and to the storage of the value obtained in this manner in attribute C of data Z, a causal link would be capable of reconstituting this transport of information through the different versions of the data X, Y and Z as well as to the various executions of operation O. This valuable information allows an understanding of the details of the developments and to

transitively explain the origins of the modifications and detect the operations to be redone in case of a development of the original data. It is especially important because, contrary to the techniques of journaling, it rids itself of the sequential constraint of operations ~~in order~~ to concentrate on the dynamic dependencies caused by the causality. It is thus possible to become free of, e.g., thousands of operations, that do not interfere with the data that interests us. Finally, it turns out to also be extremely valuable for simplifying the merging of data located in different branches and for better identifying the true conflicts.

A particular case of development operation concerns the development of the scheme consisting ~~in~~ of making the data structure develop without loss of information (Roddick 93 – publication “A Taxonomy for Schema Versioning Based on the Relational and Entity Relationship Models”, J.F. Roddick, N.G. Craske and T.J. Richards, 1993). In a manner analogous to that of data, the follow-up of the development of its structure ~~will be~~ is better ensured if the mechanism of versioning the follow-up of operations and causal traces also applies to the information describing the structure. Particular measures of organizing data and metadata (publication “Extracting Delta for Incremental Data Warehouse Maintenance”, P. Ram et al., Data Engineering, 2000) will be necessary.

~~One of the objectives of the present~~ This invention is to ~~propose~~ provides a low-intrusive and progressive process for organizing a digital database in a traceable form. ~~We envisage ensuring~~ The inventor provides the successive levels of traceability described above without, nevertheless, imposing a re-development of existing applications.

In other words, the ~~objective pursued by the~~ invention is to ~~supply~~ supplies computer applications and their users with the ability to precisely follow data along its development by tracing their histories in a complete manner both at the individual level (intermediate versions and successor

links) and at the collective level (trigger events and dynamic interdependence links from interactions among the data versions) by positioning it in the coherent framework of its original development.

*Please replace paragraphs [0042] through [0046] with the following:*

In addition, the process in accordance with the invention makes use of a flexible architectural framework with the least possible amount of constraint and intrusion ~~in order~~ to supply a very broad applicability to the process proposed and the greatest possible compatibility with the processes of storage and manipulation of the current data.

~~In order to~~ To ensure the follow-up of the development of a database called “main”, the process of the invention allows one to proceed in such a manner that it represents not only one but all the necessary coherent, successive and/or alternative states of the real world represented in its development while preserving the ACID properties.

To this end the architecture implemented for the invention is illustrated in ~~figure~~ Fig. 2 and is constituted as follows:

A journal (J) organized in the form of an “internal ~~historization~~ historical database” constituted ~~by~~ of a table or a set of tables dedicated to following up the development and based on a mode of universal storage with a stable scheme (independent of the logical representation of the applicative data) and particularly adapted to reconstituting data on the fly.

A monitor of transactions (M) and events capable of detecting every request for the development of values and structure transmitted to the database that progressively adds into the dedicated journal the entries characterizing the elementary development of data (identity, attribute, value, trigger event and dynamic dependencies).

A module for the reconstitution (R) on the fly of the state of the database according to a target event; the system is provided to this end with a cursor (C) dedicated to the selection of the sought state.

Particular case One example is: In certain cases it can be useful to materialize the view of the base called “current” or “main” in the form of tables of specialized structure, e.g., in order to permit elevated performances and total compatibility with the existing applications (especially in order to permit the use of stored procedures and other triggers that an application might need in order to function correctly).

The architecture optionally also comprises:

[-] A system for the follow-up of the conformity (SC) of applications with the states of the base and of its scheme,

[-] Automatic inoculation tools (I) in the applications of instructions dedicated to the follow-up of dynamic dependencies (capture of data streams).

The journal (J) of events (or the internal historization database) is constituted primarily by of a table with a structure independent of that of the applicative data. The columns are:

[-] A unique identifier of the recording of the logical table concerned by the journal line belonging to the main key,

[-] A universal event identifier incremented automatically and also belonging to the main key of the journal and corresponding to the state of the main base,

[-] A value field dedicated to the storage of values.

*Please replace paragraph [0053] with the following:*

As can be noted, each event that tends to modify the logical database finishes by creating one or several entries in the form of new lines (or recordings) in the journal. This guarantees that

nothing is lost and that every logic deletion or updating is not translated into a physical deletion. Thus, the data of the past can be recovered. One of the advantages of this organization is the competing constitution of views such as the books of account that generally block update access by other users.

*Please replace paragraphs [0056] through [0057] with the following:*

For example, consider that the application wishes to obtain the data from the client table as it was precisely at the time of event 854. This implies selecting event 854 in advance by the event cursor (C). Subsequently, the request “select \* from client” is transmitted to the DBMS but transformed by the module (R) into a more complex request obtained in the following manner:

[[ - ]]]Reconstitution of the corresponding scheme: The request relates to the client table; the. The system must therefore verify the existence of the client table at the historical moment positioned by the target event and recover the attributes of this logic table (an optimization is possible by keeping the scheme in cache),

[[ - ]]]Recovery of the recordings whose field attribute = 0 created and not deleted “before” the event corresponding to the target state (value = 0 for the deletion code) and attached to this table. In the case of alternatives, “before” only concerns the events located on the same branch,

[[ - ]]]Recovery of all the recordings of which the field attribute  $\neq 0$  attached to the ones preceding and previous to the target event,

[[ - ]]]Reorganization of the stream of the stored data and grouping by logical recording, that is, in our case by client.

It is possible ~~in an embodiment of the invention~~ to make the request for modification to past states of the main database in such a manner as to create a tree of the versions of the database processed.

*Please replace paragraph [0059] with the following:*

The operation calls allow the linking of the semantics of actions of the application to the events recorded in the journal. As will be seen later, this facilitates the positioning of the cursor on the marks significant from the user's viewpoint.

*Please replace paragraphs [0068] through [0069] with the following:*

~~In order that this information about traceability is entirely effective for the user, it~~ It is useful to minimize the constants, that is to say the values entered "arbitrarily" so that this information about traceability is entirely effective for the user. The application should therefore give special weight to systems of identification by list selection, pointing, dragging-moving, etc. or by any other technique that simultaneously improves the ergonomics of the application and implicitly allows the ensuring of a follow-up without discontinuity of the information stream. In reality, these techniques are widespread because they ensure advantages of static referencing provided in the databases in a current manner.

In addition, this characteristic of the process allows a system of automatic optimization to be put in place which, based on the systematic verification of the validity of sources, allows the result previously calculated to be returned without effectively re-executing the operation. ~~The putting in place of Putting~~ such a solution in place implies the introduction of references to the calling operations (which can be done via supplementary arguments) and on the condition that the verification time is less than that of execution (performance statistics can be maintained by way of information and efficiently used).

*Please replace paragraphs [0071] through [0074] with the following:*

The ~~re-execution~~Re-execution consists of a new, explicit invocation of a given operation on the model of a preceding invocation but on the base of new values. In all instances it will give rise to new values for the data, the operations and the traced sources.

The process of the invention is especially designed for managing in an operational manner the ~~historization~~historical with the current and the restoration on the fly. Moreover, the managing of storage volumes is facilitated and optimized by a number of factors:

[[ - ]] Only the attribute values that change are stored (redundancy is therefore minimized).

[[ - ]] The volumes necessary for supplementary storage increase in a linear manner with the number of attributes modified or deleted and do not depend on the data volumes inserted into the base. This factor allows a very advantageous use for a very broad spectrum of applications.

[[ - ]] Finally, very pertinent purges can be made according to the data marked as recovered in cause by the traceability links of the source-destination type but this operation should be piloted by the applications as a function of the semantics of recoveries in cause.

For reasons of simplifying the discourse in the previous example we made the implicit hypothesis of a sequential organization of the events and therefore of the states of the main base (according to a total order). Thus, ~~in order~~ to verify the validity of the source, we evoked as a solution the simple comparison of the universal event identifiers (UEID).

In reality, our process permits a vast selection of organization of versions as, e.g.:

[[ - ]] Tree: Each event has a related event. The value of a data associated with an event can be obtained by a logical tracing back of the relatives to the closest value.

[[ - ]] Graph oriented without circuit: Analogously to a tree, this organization permits a version to have several different relatives. The ambiguities of resolution can be eliminated by prede-

fined rules based on criteria of the priority of the branches or on any other characteristic of the data (its type, etc.).

*Please replace paragraphs [0076] through [0083] with the following:*

The virtual versions are predefined branches of events that permit the constituting of parallel configurations that can simultaneously benefit events applied to one or several branches called ‘of reference’. Other characteristics include:

- Any conflicts are avoided by the separation of events by nature into branches of reference in accordance with the model evoked in the graph organization oriented without circuit;
- The materialization of these configurations is not real because the events are not duplicated physically (the propagation is logical).

The architecture implemented for realizing the invention can also comprise the following modules:

[[ - ]]]A system for the follow-up of the conformity (SC) of applications with the states of the base and of its scheme. The principle is based on the recording of a version identifier of the application ~~in order~~ to declare a level of compatibility with the state or states corresponding to the scheme of the main base,

[[ - ]]]Tools for automatic inoculation (I) into the applications of instructions dedicated to the follow-up of dynamic dependencies (capture of data streams): pre-post-processor or expanded virtual machine,

[[ - ]]]Visual components specialized in the navigation and exploration of the base states (not shown).

The invention can be implemented in several ~~manners~~ways in accordance with the context in which it is integrated in an application.

FigureFig. 3 shows an architecture that permits three levels of integration of traceability from bottom to top:

The existing applications can continue to access the database (called “main”) in the same manner. The base can either retain its original structure and redirect the access to an associated journal (called internal base), or develop toward a physical organization of the journal type and offer views or a driver in charge of the translation of requests and results.

Existing applications can be readily provided with a “cursor” on the condition that the access to the data is centralized (which is generally the case, e.g., via a single driver). In this instance, the application can offer automatic access means to the databases (now implemented in the form of a journal) and permit users to actuate a cursor that positions the readings on the desired event mark. Slight adaptations can take place ~~in order~~ to reconcile the granularity of the events with the semantics of the application.

New applications constructed entirely on the base of the technologies of the inoculation of the generation of traces ~~will~~ benefit implicitly from the most advanced level of traceability offered by this process comprising an exhaustive follow-up of the development of data and of their structure. ~~In order that the follow-up of the development of applications is ensured at the same level, it~~ It is sufficient to return to the declarative techniques of the representation of sources, to commit them to the same journal and to have them manipulated by an assembly tool provided itself with a traceability module in accordance with this process such that the follow-up of the development of applications is ensured at the same level.

This architecture permits the gradual attainment of more and more elevated levels of traceability of persistent data:

[-]]Initial: Representation and persistence (indispensable, previous), ensured by the initial persistence system

[-]]Journalization of events (useful, short-term recovery in case of breakdown but poses a problem of rapid reconstitution of past states

- ~~Historization~~Historical and versioning (useful because the values stored are multiple and can comprise variants, but this functionality generates problems of reconstitution in a mode compatible with the initial mode)

[-]]Structural development: The follow-up of development of data and of the scheme of the main database, compatible with the initial mode

[-]]Causal dependence: The detection of streams of dynamic dependence and causality links between the data of the ~~historization~~historical database (journalized).

The use of branches offers the possibility of creating alternatives of development of the database. At the same time, this raises new problems regarding the traceability. In fact, suppose that after the separation of branches A and B, data X is modified in branch A by operation O. It could may then be desirable to send its new value to branch B as if it had had this value at the moment of the separation of the branches. This operation, called “refreshing,” is very useful for numerous instances in which institutional reference data is received at more or less regular intervals. Their integration can then pose problems of interference with the operations carried out in the meantime. For example, if no operation that had as source or destination data X in branch B was performed in the meantime, it can be considered that there is no impact. On the other hand, if that is the case, it is then necessary to decide (explicitly or implicitly) which operation has priority and to redo the others. These conflicts are readily detectable by the links of dynamic dependence. The associated semantics will be supplied by that of the operations that caused these dependencies. A simple comparison of

the universal identifier of the traces of operations allows the evaluation of priority and to confirm it or cancel it. The user (or the application via a system of predefined rules) can thus decide with knowledge. The case of a merging of branches is quite analogous.

*Please replace paragraph [0089] with the following:*

~~The invention was described above by way of example. It is understood that an expert in the art is capable of realizing different variants of the invention without departing from the scope of the patent. Although this invention has been described in connection with specific forms thereof, it will be appreciated that a wide variety of equivalents may be substituted for the specified elements described herein without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention as described in the appended claims.~~